


THE INDIVIDUALIST

LIFTING THE FOG OF CONFUSION



By: Bill Wink

THE INDIVIDUALIST

Copyright ©2012 by Bill Wink

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, modified, rewritten, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form, by any means, including mechanical, electric, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the express prior written permission of the publisher.

Bill Wink
P.O. Box 814
Middletown, CA 95461

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The cover art is Leonardo da Vinci's Vitruvian Man circa 1487. The drawing, which is in pen and ink on paper, depicts a male figure in two superimposed positions with his arms and legs apart and simultaneously inscribed in a circle and square. The drawing is based on the correlations of ideal human proportions with geometry described by the ancient Roman architect Vitruvius in Book III of his treatise *De Architectura*. - Wikipedia

Sometimes to find answers one must crawl into the darkest depths and reside there while looking for those answers.

Sylvia has traveled this journey with me from the beginning and has always remained a steadfast companion.

That's why this book is dedicated to my wife of 46 years, Sylvia.

**I AM NOT A CITIZEN OF THE WORLD – I AM A CITIZEN OF THE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA!**

I AM NOT A HUMAN RESOURCE – I AM A PERSON!

I AM NOT A HUMAN ELEMENT – I AM A HUMAN BEING!

I AM NOT A COMMUNITY – I AM AN INDIVIDUAL!

CONTENTS

<u>ISSUE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
TEN YEARS LATER	6
FREEDOM IS A STRANGE EXPERIENCE	8
SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE	10
SEPARATION OF CAPITALISM AND STATE	11
WE ARE NOT A DEMOCRACY!	13
WHY I’M CONVINCED AMERICAN INDIVIDUALISM IS THE CORRECT PATH	14
WHAT IS AMERICAN INDIVIDUALISM?	16
THE USEFUL IDIOT	17
“THE DEATH OF FREEDOM”	20
SOCIALISM OR CAPITALISM – YOU WILL DECIDE	21
WE HAVE COMPROMISED AMERICA	23
MERRY CHRISTMAS, HAPPY HANUKKAH, HAPPY KWANZAA	26
COMING TO A NEIGHBORHOOD NEAR YOU - COMMUNITY LAW	29
“PEAK OIL” IS A MYTH AND THERE IS PROOF	33
NEW NASA DATA BLOW GAPING HOLE IN GLOBAL WARMING ALARMISM	36
CHANGING HOW YOU THINK WILL CHANGE WHAT YOU SEE	40
THE STRENGTH OF MY CONVICTIONS	42

YOU HAVE BEEN SUBTLY BRAINWASHED

PREFACE

This has been a long journey of misconceptions, misdirection, misinformation, deceit, disbelief, anger, tears, heart ache, lies and occasionally joy and celebration.

It has forced me to take inventory of certain aspects of my life and question what I want to achieve with this gift.

It has also caused me to ask; what does GOD want me to do?

During this trip I have gained a greater understanding of who our Founding Fathers were and I think I gained an understanding of their relationship with GOD.

I've learned that we, you and I, know and understand more about the reality that surrounds us than those who profess to know more but yet are agenda driven.

In late 2011 I developed an email letter I titled The Individualist. Those published in 2011 were Volume I, Issue 1 and so on, there were 14 Issues in 2011.

In 2012 the Issues started over as Issue 1 but Volume II. I only published 4 Issues in 2012.

This book is a compilation of all of those minus the original Volume I Issue 11

As you read this book should you have questions, I'm confident you will find your answer on my Website: Bill-Wink.com - **<http://www.bill-wink.com>**

You may search the entire site at: **<http://www.bill-wink.com/SITE-PAGE.htm>**

I hope you learn to think differently and see the world as it really exists.

Bill

AND SO WE BEGIN

1. TEN YEARS LATER

Today is one day short of the Ten Year Anniversary of 9/11, a day that changed our World, and I feel I would be remiss if I did not say something to acknowledge that fateful day.

But I will too tell you the story of how I feel personally this day, 10 years later, and how I arrived at these feelings.

So here we go.

I have always been a patriot, someone who chokes back tears when standing and hearing our National Anthem. But my faith and love for my Government, not my Country but my Government, has been shaken to my core. In fact, I just don't trust anything they say or believe their reason for saying it.

And here's why.

In 1965 I was just learning to enjoy my freedom.

I graduated from high school in 1964, had taken a stab at college and learned it require you study and since studying was not a priority for me I was soon off trying to fulfill my destiny, whatever that was going to be. However, I soon learned that my future had been determined by others.

In August of 1965 I received my letter from the President. I was being drafted into the Army.

I separated from active duty on October 30, 1969 after serving 47 months.

In 1989, 20 years after separating, I became angry as I was assessing my life. 58,000 men and women dead, hundreds of thousand wounded, so many lives destroyed from my generation and for what?

They yanked us up by our roots and set us on a course of their choosing, so much for freedom.

This wasn't a war that threatened our loved ones. I remember what was being sold to the American people; "We must stop communism in South East Asia." Well we didn't and guess what? The only thing that happened was lots of people got rich and lots of people got dead.

Then in 2005 an internal National Security Agency historical study was declassified. This study addressed the "Gulf of Tonkin" incident.

The report stated:

[I]t is not simply that there is a different story as to what happened; it is that no attack happened that night. In truth, Hanoi's navy was engaged in nothing that night but the salvage of two of the boats damaged on August 2.

The outcome of these two incidents was the passage by Congress of the Gulf of Tonkin Resolution, which granted President Lyndon B. Johnson the authority to assist any Southeast Asian country whose government was considered to be jeopardized by "communist aggression". The resolution served as Johnson's legal justification for deploying U.S. conventional forces and the commencement of open warfare against North Vietnam. (Wikipedia)

Seems the reason used to draft me, the reason used that caused 58,000 deaths, was just a lie.

That just made it all worse.

At that time, 2005, I was sixty years old and I hated what my Government, the United States of America, had done to my generation.

Then to add to my angst, 2005 was the year I discovered Agenda 21 and I soon realized we had been sold out again.

Ten years ago tomorrow, I was stunned just like every other American. I couldn't believe my eyes. However, deep inside me I had already come to grips with the fact that evil men do things on purpose that destroy lives and property.

Do I believe my Country had anything to do with all the death and destruction on 9/11? No, not directly anyway, but do I trust my Government? No.

What I have learned from these experiences is; one must step away from ones political alignments and religious beliefs because these are what others are telling you. Someone else is telling you what you see and how to feel. I don't mean you need step away permanently, that's up to you, but long enough to think for yourself. Long enough to recognize what your own eyes and your own ears and your own brain are telling you. After all, that's why God gave them to you.

So as we mourn those lives that were destroyed by the actions of 9/11 I want to share some text from George Orwell's book "1984".

War for the masses = Peace for the elite

War keeps the masses dependent upon the Party for "security". It is the pretext for more control (*Violation of the IV Amendment's illegal search clause, Patriot Act*) and greater extortion. Each of the global power blocs operates under the same system of control. The so-called renegade leaders are merely puppets. (*Osama Bin Laden*) With the masses divided by fear the global oligarchs rule them undisturbed.

Slavery for the masses = Freedom for the elite

A system of fiat money (*money with no real value like our dollar*), taxation and wage slavery is used to keep the masses fully occupied at a minimal level of existence, with the illusion of being able to "get ahead" by striving harder. The masses are too concerned with their daily occupations to understand or organize effectively against the system of exploitation. While the masses slave away, the oligarchs enjoy wealth and freedom at their expense. (*Private jets, limos, mansions, caviar while you are told to conserve and change your living habits*)

"[If] an all around increase in wealth threatened the destruction of a hierarchical society. If leisure and security were enjoyed by all alike, the great mass of human beings...would become literate and would learn to think for themselves. They would sooner or later realize that the privileged minority had no function, and they would sweep it away. In the long run, a hierarchical society was only possible on a basis of poverty and ignorance." (*You had wealth until your house or other real property was systematically de-valued and you were once again enslaved*)

Ignorance for the masses = Strength for the elite

The hierarchy uses control over the education system and the communications media (*ABC, NBC, CBS, print media & NPR*) to keep the masses in a state of ignorance and incapable of perceiving the facts of their manipulation. By controlling all sources of information, and playing one ignorant group off against the other, (*Republican vs. Democrat*) they ensure that effective opposition does not arise.

"All the beliefs, habits, tastes, emotions, mental attitudes that characterize our time are really designed to...prevent the true nature of present-day society from being perceived. If the 'High' are to keep their places permanently, then the prevailing mental condition must be controlled."
PLEASE NOTE: I added the text within the brackets

2. FREEDOM IS A STRANGE EXPERIENCE

I guess I should have given you my shoe size before while I was baring my sole. But all kidding aside, I think it is very important you understand the journey I've taken to arrive at this point so you may better understand what shaped my opinions.

For instance why do I feel so strongly about the rights of the individual being superior to the rights of the collective, or why am I so convinced that man was intended by God to be free and just who is God in my world anyway since I base so much on Him?

Earlier, I said you needed to step away from your political alignments and religious beliefs long enough to think for yourself. To see reality as it is not as others tell you it is, that you should trust your own eyes and ears and recognize the questions that pop up when you do and to not sweep those questions away with some pat answer from some other influencing source.

For instance, just who is our government protecting us from with these billions of dollars spent, freedoms lost, liberties impeded and lives sacrificed?

Is the increased sense of security worth the price? (And I use the word sense here intentionally.)

How many Americans have lost their lives here in the homeland since 9/11 through terrorist acts?

Well according to a University of North Carolina study, here is the answer:

"Muslim American terrorist plots have killed, since 9/11, 33 individuals in the United States. Over that same period of time, there have been more than 150,000 murders in the United States, or 14 or 15,000 murders every year. Muslim American terrorism, then, has been a very small, very low percentage of the overall violence in the United States."

So are you really safer?

New York City is Ground Zero when we talk of terrorist attacks so the closer we came to 9/11 the more we were told how safe we were made since we have all given up so much in the name of safety.

On FOX News.com on September 6, 2011 this was their report:

New York City Is Safer Ten Years After 9/11, Thanks to the NYPD

Their Agusta A119 helicopter, bearing the NYPD's distinctive blue and white markings, soars 700 feet above the East River, its single engine purring at top speed. Heading north at 70 miles per hour, the chopper whirls by the Brooklyn and Williamsburg Bridges as it points its L-3 Wescam multisensor camera at various tourist destinations: the South Street Seaport, the United Nations' headquarters, the 59th Street Bridge. The chopper circles the Staten Island Ferry and the Statue of Liberty, scanning the statue's base for anything suspicious.

It takes just 25 minutes for the chopper to circumnavigate the five boroughs of New York and the more than 100 "critical locations" that the 62-person aviation unit patrols day and night.

The NYPD's fleet now has seven helicopters: The aircraft contain an arsenal of monitoring equipment powerful enough to read a license plate or the name of a book in a pedestrian's hand almost a mile away. Their satellite navigation system lets pilots zoom in on any location

simply by typing in an address on a keyboard, while their giant strobe lights can turn night into day on dark rooftops or bridges. The choppers also have compartments for .50 caliber swiveling machine guns and other heavy weapons.

Wow!

But wait, just a few days before on August 22 there was a slightly different story regarding New Yorker's safety on newyork.cbslocal.com.

NYPD: Crime Spikes Dramatically In Parts Of Big Apple

NYC's First Overall Increase In Decades Said To Be Possible; City Hall Concerned

A 400 percent increase in murders in tony Williamsburg; a 400 percent increase in rapes in Sheepshead Bay and a 250 percent increase in killings in Washington Heights are all troublesome statistics that have Mayor Michael Bloomberg and police officials concerned.

"We worry every day about trying to make this city safer," Mayor Bloomberg said Monday.

So it is a matter of prospective.

If you want to be safe from terrorist and you don't mind being raped or murdered, then you could say; it is worth all you have paid and given up.

But this should show you a very salient point and that is; you can never pass enough laws, impede enough liberties or give up enough freedoms to be "safe" from everything.

Why is it so easy to give up freedom?

Because freedom and liberty are strange experiences, as they can't be recognized as a sense until they are gone, and then, it is too late.

Freedom and liberty are only recognized for what they are when they have been replaced with an encumbrance you can feel. Did you ever even consider wearing your shoes as being a liberty or of speaking your mind as a freedom? Try boarding an airplane today without taking off your shoes and try telling them 'no'.

John Philpot Curran (24 July 1750 – 14 October 1817) an Irish orator and politician said:

The condition upon which God hath given liberty to man is eternal vigilance; which condition if he break, servitude is at once the consequence of his crime, and the punishment of his guilt.

In other words; man must be ever vigilant to protect his God given liberties and if he fails, servitude to those who take them will be his punishment.

If we continue on this path, servitude will be what we reap and serfdom awaits those who follow.

So here is the pay off of using your own eyes and your own senses:

Just as all of God's creatures are born free, so too is man. Mankind was meant to live free. This is the message all around you. Open your eyes and see that only man encumbers or steals another's freedom but that was not and is not God's intent. Only those who wish to steal your freedom wish to

be your keeper and they will do so by lulling you into a sense of safety and security whether it is through religion or government.

3. SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE

This is a subject that is hard to write about, and in my experience, very difficult to wrap one's mind around. However, it is so important and plays such a huge role in our understanding of who we are that I have to tell you how I arrived at my personal understanding and that is:

Our Founders did not intend for God and religion to be synonymous.

And here's the foundation for my conclusion: The First Amendment reads "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof" and Article VI of the Constitution specifies that "no religious Test shall ever be required as a Qualification to any Office or public Trust under the United States." Yet, all through our founding history, these same men who wrote and signed the Declaration of Independence and coined our Constitution referenced God and never barred reference to Him but revered Him in all our oaths, money, buildings and more, therefore, I conclude they did not mean one to be the other.

Some evidence:

***John Adams - July 1st, 1776** - "Before God, I believe the hour has come. My judgment approves this measure, and my whole heart is in it. All that I have, and all that I am, and all that I hope in this life, I am now ready here to stake upon it. And I leave off as I began, that live or die, survive or perish, I am for the Declaration. It is my living sentiment, and by the blessing of God it shall be my dying sentiment. Independence now, and Independence for ever!"*

IN CONGRESS, July 4, 1776. - The unanimous Declaration of the thirteen United States of America,

When in the Course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation.

We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.--

I do not mean that those who practice a particular religion, worship God and do so in a church do not worship the same God the Founders referenced, but that the Founders referenced God independent from any religion or house of worship.

There is a difference between knowing and believing.

Definition of BELIEF (Webster)

- 1: a state or habit of mind in which trust or confidence is placed in some person or thing
- 2: something believed; especially : a tenet or body of tenets held by a group

3: conviction of the truth of some statement or the reality of some being or phenomenon especially when based on examination of evidence

Definition of KNOW-transitive verb (Webster)

(1) : to perceive directly : have direct cognition of

(2) : to have understanding of

(3) : to recognize the nature of : discern

I believe our Founders had direct cognition of and an understanding of God without incorporating a system of beliefs from any religion.

Therefore, it is my conclusion that the phrase SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE has nothing to do with knowing God and understanding God is our Creator but everything to do with religion and that religion cannot be sanctioned by government but trusting in God is not necessarily a religious act.

4. SEPARATION OF CAPITALISM AND STATE

I just wrote in 3. SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE:

"Therefore, it is my conclusion that the phrase SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE has nothing to do with knowing God and understanding God is our Creator but everything to do with religion and that religion cannot be sanctioned by government but trusting in God is not necessarily a religious act."

Thomas Jefferson wrote a letter to the Danbury Baptist Association in 1802 in response to their concerns regarding religious freedom. Here is what he wrote:

"Believing with you that religion is a matter which lies solely between Man & his God, that he owes account to none other for his faith or his worship, that the legitimate powers of government reach actions only, & not opinions, I contemplate with sovereign reverence that act of the whole American people which declared that their legislature should "make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof," thus building a wall of separation between Church & State."

WHAT IS CAPITALISM?

Laissez faire capitalism means the complete separation of economy and state, just like the separation of church and state.

Capitalism is the social system based upon private ownership of the means of production which entails a completely uncontrolled and unregulated economy where all land is privately owned. But the separation of the state and the economy is not a primary, *it is only an aspect of the premise that capitalism is based upon: individual rights.*

Capitalism is the only politico-economic system based on the doctrine of individual rights. This means that capitalism recognizes that each and every person is the owner of his own life, and has the right to live his life in any manner he chooses as long as he does not violate the rights of others.

WHAT IS THE OPPOSITE OF CAPITALISM?

Statism, in any form.

Statism is the concentration of power in the state at the expense of individual freedom. Capitalism is the only system which protects individual rights and freedom, but the variety of political systems which violate individual freedom are numerous: socialism, communism, fascism, Nazism, absolute monarchies, military dictatorships, theocracies, or the welfare state are all systems which infringe upon individual rights, which means they institutionalize the initiation of force against their citizens.

Mao Tse-tung
June 14, 1954

DRAFT CONSTITUTION PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

There are two basic principles, the principle of democracy and the principle of socialism. Our democracy is not bourgeois (persons with social behavior and political views held to be influenced by private-property interest) democracy but people's democracy, that is, a people's democratic dictatorship led by the proletariat (the laboring class; especially: the class of industrial workers who lack their own means of production and hence sell their labor to live) and based on the worker-peasant alliance. The principle of people's democracy runs through the whole constitution. The other principle is that of socialism. Socialism already exists in our country today. The constitution stipulates that socialist transformation must be accomplished and the socialist industrialization of the country realized. That's our principle. To carry out this principle of socialism, are we to put socialism into practice overnight in every sphere throughout the country? This might seem very revolutionary, but for lack of flexibility it just won't work, it will meet with opposition and end in failure. So, what cannot be done for the moment must be given time to be done gradually. For instance, state capitalism, as stipulated, is to be put into practice gradually. It takes various forms, not just that of joint state-private management. Note the words "gradually" and "various". That is to say, state capitalism in various forms is to be put into practice gradually so as to attain socialist ownership by the whole people. Socialist ownership by the whole people is the principle, but in order to realize this principle we should combine it with flexibility. And flexibility means state capitalism, which takes not one but "various" forms, and which is to be realized not overnight but "gradually".

Definition of STATE CAPITALISM

:an economic system in which private capitalism is modified by a varying degree of government ownership and control

First Known Use of STATE CAPITALISM - 1903

Today when you go to Google and place the search request; 'Obama State Capitalism' you will get a return of about 4.85 million results.

In there you will find articles relating to State Capitalism in our Country and the one that should come to your mind is Obamacare and then government ownership involvement in the rail system, auto manufacturing, insurance industry and financial institutions.

FREEDOM AND INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS

It must be realized that there are only two fundamental political philosophies: those who are for freedom and individual rights and those who are against them. The types of political systems who are against freedom and individual rights are numerous, for there are many ways to violate the rights of man, but there is only one political-economic philosophy which upholds that the rights of man are absolute and immutable -- capitalism.

I want to point out that the Founders felt it was imperative for those who practiced some form of religion, as well as to protect the rights of those who did not, that there must be "a wall of separation between Church and State".

Now I submit, that in order to further protect the rights of the individual, there must be 'a wall of separation between Capitalism and State'

I don't mean that there should be unregulated capitalism (regarding the free market); I mean there should not be State run Capitalism as we are experiencing today and as was promoted by then leading Marxist, communist, Mao Tse-tung. I quote Mao: "... state capitalism in various forms is to be put into practice gradually so as to attain socialist ownership by the whole people."

State Capitalism, like Democracy, is but a step in the process of first reaching socialism then communism which are both forms of Statism.

State Capitalism is, without doubt, redistribution of wealth and in the eyes of those who are promoting socialism that is called "social justice".

5. WE ARE NOT A DEMOCRACY!

WE ARE A REPUBLIC

"I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the REPUBLIC for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

"The Pledge of Allegiance of the United States is an oath of loyalty to the federal flag and the Republic of the United States of America" – Wikipedia

I shudder every time someone from the Political Class or Media Elite talks about our Democracy. I'm convinced that that is an effort to brainwash Americans into believing we are a Democracy, especially the younger generations.

Of course that would work for the followers of Chairman Mao, as we last read in THE INDIVIDUALIST, quote:

*Our democracy is not bourgeois democracy but people's democracy, that is, a people's **democratic dictatorship** led by the proletariat...*

TWO WOLVES AND A SHEEP

On January 30, 2008 I wrote "**Two wolves and a sheep**" (Ben Franklin) in response to someone who wrote in our local paper that we were a Democracy and that is just not true, we are not a Democracy. So I wrote:

"What replaced British tyranny was a Constitution that insured a government by the people could not abridge certain God given rights through consensus. That is why the United States of America is a Constitutional Republic NOT a Democracy.

The founding Fathers abhorred a Democracy. You will not even find the word in our Constitution or the Declaration of Independence; it is only folks who seek collective rule that use the word in describing our country.

In the federalist's papers #10 Madison makes the argument why America should be a republic rather than a democracy. One point he makes is: "Hence it is that such democracies have

ever been spectacles of turbulence and contention; have ever been found incompatible with personal security or the rights of property; and have in general been as short in their lives as they have been violent in their deaths."

John Adams in 1814 said: "Remember, democracy never lasts long. It soon wastes, exhausts, and murders itself. There never was a democracy yet that did not commit suicide."

But on the other hand, two individuals who thought a democracy essential were Vladimir Lenin who said: "Democracy is indispensable to Socialism", and Karl Marx who said: "Democracy is the road to Socialism."

A democracy does not present a pretty picture. A simple explanation of what a democracy represents is: two wolves and a sheep take a majority vote on what's for supper. - In other words, in a democracy (which is majority rule); there is nothing to protect the sheep from the wolves, the wolves representing the majority, the sheep, the minority.

However, in a constitutional republic: the wolves are forbidden from voting on what's for supper and the sheep is well armed. - Meaning, given the same situation in a constitutional republic, the rights of the sheep are protected from the wolves by the constitution and should the wolves rise up to challenge that right the sheep has a means to protect itself from the mob. (The sheep should always remain well armed)

Confusion is generated about what kind of government we have because we do use a limited form of democracy when we vote, however, we may only vote on issues that are constitutionally correct, therefore: the wolves will never be allowed to vote on what's for supper as the constitution protects the rights of the sheep.

Democracy is only a safe process when individual rights are protected from majority rule. So the next time someone talks about democracy, you better listen real close because you may be the intended sheep."

In other words, a Democracy will never protect the rights of a gay person to marry or a religious person to practice their religion. Only a Constitution guaranteeing individual rights will protect the rights of those who are in a minority.

We are a Constitutional Republic with a democratically elected Representative form of Government and the only thing standing between us and tyranny is the United States Constitution and every time they convince you we should weaken the Constitution because it is out of date you lose some protection our Founders provided for you. This weakening is called Progressivism.

So if you want to be a "progressive" you are agreeing to lose your individual rights and protections.

6. WHY I'M CONVINCED AMERICAN INDIVIDUALISM IS THE CORRECT PATH

BEDROCK PRINCIPAL #1 IN FAVOR OF INDIVIDUALISM:

THE TEN COMMANDMENTS

When God spoke to Moses and passed to him the Ten Commandments, those Commandments were directed to every INDIVIDUAL.

"Thou shalt not kill."

"Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbor."

The word "thou" is a singular pronoun meaning "you"

God did not say; follow my Commandments as a group and if the majority of ye follow them, those in the minority will be forgiven.

God directed each and every Commandment to every INDIVIDUAL.

You, an individual, are responsible for you.

BEDROCK PRINCIPAL #2 IN FAVOR OF INDIVIDUALISM:

THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE AND THE RECOGNITION OF GOD

When in the Course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them...

We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.—

And for the support of this Declaration, with a firm reliance on the protection of divine Providence...

Our founders had just fled those countries that were collectivist countries and came here seeking the right to be free

BEDROCK PRINCIPAL #3 IN FAVOR OF INDIVIDUALISM:

THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES

In the United States, the Constitution outlines individual rights within the Bill of Rights - WIKIPEDIA

From these experiences came a uniquely American view of power and liberty as natural enemies. The nation's founders believed that containing the government's power and protecting liberty was their most important task, and declared a new purpose for government: the protection of individual rights. - ACLU

THE LOWEST COMMON DENOMINATOR

In Vol. 1 Issue 4 I printed: *"It must be realized that there are only two fundamental political philosophies: those who are for freedom and individual rights and those who are against them."* - *Capitalism.org*

Individualism holds that the rights and freedoms of the individual are superior to the rights of the group.

Since Individualism is one half of the equation making up the lowest common denominator what is the other half?

The other half is Collectivism.

"According to Moyra Grant, in political philosophy "collectivism" refers to any philosophy or system that puts any kind of group (such as a class, nation, race, society, state, etc.) before the individual. According to Encyclopedia Britannica, "collectivism has found varying degrees of expression in the 20th century in such movements as socialism, communism, and fascism." - Wikipedia

Now we have the answer, the lowest common denominator, regarding political philosophy, is you either believe in Individualism or you believe in Collectivism. That's it.

From these two positions come all other political positions.

In Vol. 1 Issue 4 I wrote: "Capitalism is the only politico-economic system based on the doctrine of individual rights. This means that capitalism recognizes that each and every person is the owner of his own life, and has the right to live his life in any manner he chooses as long as he does not violate the rights of others."

7. WHAT IS AMERICAN INDIVIDUALISM?

Steven M. Lukes – Encyclopedia Britannica

*In the United States, individualism became part of the core American ideology by the 19th century, incorporating the influences of New England Puritanism, Jeffersonianism, and the philosophy of natural rights. American individualism was universalist and idealist but acquired a harsher edge as it became infused with elements of social Darwinism (i.e., the survival of the fittest). "Rugged individualism"—extolled by Herbert Hoover during his presidential campaign in 1928—was associated with traditional American values such as personal freedom, capitalism, and limited government. As James Bryce, British ambassador to the United States (1907–13), wrote in *The American Commonwealth* (1888), "Individualism, the love of enterprise, and the pride in personal freedom have been deemed by Americans not only their choicest, but [their] peculiar and exclusive possession."*

[CRITICISM OF INDIVIDUALISM]

Individualism as Tocqueville understood it, with its endorsement of private enjoyments and control of one's personal environment and its neglect of public involvement and communal attachment, has long been lamented and criticized from both the right and the left and from both religious and secular perspectives. Especially notable critiques have been made by advocates of communitarianism, who tend to equate individualism with narcissism and selfishness. Likewise, thinkers in the tradition of "republican" political thought—according to which power is best controlled by being divided—are disturbed by their perception that individualism deprives the state of the support and active involvement of citizens, thereby impairing democratic institutions.

Individualism also has been thought to distinguish modern Western societies from premodern and non-Western ones, such as traditional India and China, where, it is said, the community or

the nation is valued above the individual and an individual's role in the political and economic life of his community is largely determined by his membership in a specific class or caste.

American Individualism by Herbert Hoover 31st President of the United States of America

"Our individualism differs from all others because it embraces these great ideals: that while we build our society upon the attainment of the individual, we shall safeguard to every individual an equality of opportunity [equal opportunity] to take that position in the community to which his intelligence, character, ability, and ambition entitle him; that we keep the social solution free from frozen strata of classes [modern Western society]; that we shall stimulate effort of each individual to achievement; that through an enlarging sense of responsibility and understanding we shall assist him to this attainment; while he in turn must stand up to the emery wheel of competition [no bail-outs].

In our individualism we have long since abandoned the laissez faire of the 18th Century - the notion that it is "everyman for himself and the devil take the hindmost." [We abandoned hooray for me and too bad for you] We abandoned that when we adopted the ideal of equality of opportunity - the fair chance of Abraham Lincoln.

Whatever may be the case with regard to Old World Individualism (and we have given more back to Europe than we have received from her) the truth that is important for us to grasp today is that there is a world of difference between the principles and spirit of Old World individualism and that which we have developed in our country.

I refuse to be damned by anybody's world-classification of it, such as "capitalism," "plutocracy," "proletariat" or "middle class," or any other, or to any kind of compartment that is based on the assumption of some group dominating somebody else.

The social force in which I am interested is far higher and far more precious a thing than all these. It springs from something infinitely more enduring; it springs from the one source of human progress - that each individual shall be given the chance and stimulation for development of the best with which he has been endowed in heart and mind; it is the sole source of progress; it is American individualism.

The rightfulness of our individualism can rest either on philosophic, political, economic, or spiritual grounds. It can rest on the ground of being the only safe avenue to further human progress."

COLLECTIVISM IS THE DEATH KNEEL FOR FREEDOM AND LIBERTY.

To remove the incentive of the individual in lieu of producing for the community is to stymie progress. It will take away personal pride in achievement and will kill the human spirit that was born to be free.


Only the slave masters will feel a sense of accomplishment as the individual is absorbed into the mass.

8. THE USEFUL IDIOT

THE CRUEL JOKE OF MODERN SOCIETAL POLITICAL IDEOLOGY


If you believe as I always did and that is; that you could draw a line and place communism at the far left end and place fascism at the far right end and then you could proceed to place yourself somewhere on that line, you would reflect your political ideals and it would look something like this.

POLITICAL IDEOLOGY



Ah... but that is wrong and that is the cruel joke.

Political Ideology is best expressed in a circle because the far left, **communism** and the far right, **fascism** strive to attain the same goal and that is; domination over the individual and the defeat of Capitalism which is the protector of the individual.





THE ONE NATION RALLY

In the following photo from the One Nation Rally you will see handouts in a stand for participants to choose from. One is promoting Marxism and one is promoting Fascism, one is ideologically left and one is ideologically right but they marched together because they are both collectivists striving to defeat capitalism.



OWS – OCCUPY WALL STREET

When you look to see who supports OWS the first two listed at the Blaze.com are: On the far left we have  **COMMUNIST PARTY USA** and on the far right we have  **AMERICAN NAZI PARTY.**

This doesn't mean that everyone supporting OWS is either a communist or a fascist, I'm sure there are honest, decent, hardworking, red blooded Americans who support capitalism involved in the protest; it just means their numbers are being used to advance the political ideology of the communists and the fascists.

"USEFUL IDIOT"

"Useful idiot" is often used as a pejorative term for those who are seen to unwittingly support a malignant cause through their 'naive' attempts to be a force for good.

A 2010 BBC radio documentary lists among useful idiots of Joseph Stalin several prominent British writers including H. G. Wells and Doris Lessing, the Irish writer George Bernard Shaw. - Wikipedia

A similar term, "useful innocents", is used in Austrian-American economist Ludwig von Mises' "Planned Chaos". Von Mises claims the term was used by communists for liberals that von Mises describes as "confused and misguided sympathizers".

BE A FORCE FOR GOOD NOT FOR EVIL

A few of the vilest men to live in modern times and who was each responsible for the deaths of millions and millions of people were:

Joseph Stalin (communist): 34 to 49 million

Adolph Hitler (fascist): In camps, from Fascist terror: 12.0M From hostilities, blockade, epidemics, hunger: 14.5M, total 26.5 million but we cannot forget

Mao (communist): Rural purges, 1946-49: 2-5M deaths, Urban purges, 1950-57: 1M, Great Leap Forward: 20-43M, Cultural Revolution: 2-7M, Labor Camps: 20M, Tibet: 0.6-1.2M, total 44.5 to 72M.

So please protest peacefully, know who you are protesting with, know what you are protesting for and the result you seek and don't be a "useful idiot".

9. "THE DEATH OF FREEDOM"

During November of 2006, five years ago, I wrote "THE DEATH OF FREEDOM". It was an attempt by me to try and alert Americans to the fact that this was the beginning, by the collectivists, of a major attack on freedom of choice.

That attack was focused on a minority in society, smokers.

Never mind that smoking was legal and America is supposed to be a "Country of laws" this was an assault on personal choice and they were going to see just how far they could push society even though it has never been illegal to manufacture or sell tobacco products.

Further they were going to see how far Americans would go in redistributing wealth by taking it from the tobacco companies and giving it to folks who used tobacco.

For a capitalist who believes in the free market I believed:

1. If you allowed an establishment to be a smoking or non-smoking establishment, economics would soon determine what choice the free market entrepreneur would choose.
2. Or if tobacco was as deadly as we were being told, then the government would outlaw the production and sale of all tobacco products.

Of course those pushing this agenda were not capitalist, they were collectivists. They believe the individual has no rights only the collective has rights

A very important point I want to make is:

The collectivists were allowed to say and use the word "causes" in connection with cancer and yet to this day no one knows what "causes" cancer. That is a huge deal but it goes right along with the misnomer "fossil fuels" and the lie "global warming" which are also used to frighten folks into surrendering their individual rights.

From this point I'm going to quote from my 2006 article:

How do you know "smoking causes cancer"?

Now pay attention please, the key word here is "causes", not, "is linked to", not, "is statistically shown to" but "specifically causes cancer", because the panic word is "causes".

The reason this is so important is because you have curbed millions of people's freedom of choice and selectively taxed others based on that statement: "smoking causes cancer" and you are wrong and science agrees with me.

(Let me say first: I think smoking is unhealthy.)

However, for a scientist to say definitively something causes something else they must have a controlled experiment where the tests performed are identical or else it is only hypothetical and in the case of saying John dies of cancer because he smoked, to be scientifically proven that he did, John would have to live two identical lives. One where he didn't smoke and one where the only variance was, he smoked, then if John dies of cancer in the life where he smoked and didn't die of cancer in the life where he didn't smoke, you could state: John died of cancer because he smoked. Otherwise, it is hypothetical. You can't really know John got cancer because he smoked or if it was hereditary because his father, grandfather and brother all died of cancer too or because of some other environmental exposure.

Ask yourself this: If smoking causes cancer, why doesn't everyone who ever smoked have cancer?

Maybe this is the reason why not, read this from the Cancer Help UK website:

*"There are about 200 different types of cancer affecting all the different body tissues. What affects one body tissue may not affect another. For example, tobacco smoke that you breathe in **may help to cause** lung cancer. Over exposing your skin to the sun **could give you** a melanoma on your leg."*

Also this: "The truth is that the second most prevalent disease of aging -- cancer -- is largely linked to genetics..." Past Lt. Governor of New York, Dr. Betsy McCaughey

Please don't take this the wrong way. I'm trying to point out government in our country has a responsibility to protect the citizens from the mob, as well as, from the business that is operating at the level that threatens our health and safety.

In other words, even if the majority doesn't like it that smoking is legal, it is still government's job to protect the sheep (the smoker) from the wolves (anti-smoking majority) or under pressure from the majority, find the business that is threatening our health and safety illegal and shut it down.

But because the collectivists hold most elected offices, have no respect for the Constitution and were allowed to use psychological terror, freedom lost.

The collectivists left a huge tax producer to continue to sell a supposed deadly product and punished the individual all under the pretense of saving you.

It's not about smoking it's about freedom.

So which minority group is next, I mean after the obese.

10. SOCIALISM OR CAPITALISM – YOU WILL DECIDE

According to the Ancient Mayans, in just a little over a year on the Winter Solstice, Friday, December 21, 2012, the Mayan Long Count Calendar, for this World Age, will come to an end.

We will then enter a new World Age that will last for another 5,125 years.

No modern society has ever experienced this event so we are all left to speculate about what all of this means, and to ask ourselves, is it just a coincidence that we will vote to set the course of our Country into the future just days before the Winter Solstice 2012 and that the results of that vote will be enforced just days after?

12/21/12

I've been aware of the Mayan Long Count Calendar and their and other's prophesy and the significance of this date, 12/21/12, for several years and the closer we get to 12-21-2012 the faster things seem to be changing. It's almost like we are caught in a spiraling vortex hurtling us toward changing times. Dictatorships are falling, European Socialism is failing, Capitalism is under assault but will the change demanded be better? Not necessarily.

Prophesy of the Hopi and Mayan elders was not that everything will come to an end as some predict. Rather, this is a time of transition from one World Age into another, or change. There is an end and a beginning. And the message they give concerns our making a choice of how we enter the future ahead. In other words, we do have a choice in determining our future or directing that change.

TODAY

In our country, the far left, whether they are socialists or communists, have been trying to defeat capitalism with fervor since Woodrow Wilson. (They call themselves all different kinds of names such as Marxists, Fabians, Progressives, Communitarians or whatever; however, they are all either socialists or communists of varying degrees.)

Capitalism is what ignites the fire of freedom and keeps the fire burning. Capitalism is what allows every individual to pick their own path and is what catapulted the newest country in the history of the World to become the most powerful Nation on Earth with the highest standard of living and the freest society.

What held all others back was their choice of some form of far left or far right ideology.

A social ideology that protects the inspirational spirit and freedom of the individual, as found at the bottom of the political ideology circle, is the answer to the free pursuit of life, liberty and happiness.

NEXT YEAR

On Tuesday, November 6, 2012 the 2012 presidential election will coincide with the election of 33 United States Senators as well as the United States House of Representative's elections to elect the members for the 113th Congress. The election will also encompass eleven gubernatorial races as well as many state legislature races.

In my opinion, this will be the most important election since the election of Abraham Lincoln; this election will set the course of our Country for decades to come. It is truly an election that will decide if the United States of American will defer back to its historical roots based on free markets and individual rights protected under our Constitution and guided by Capitalism or will we set a new course based on the failed system of European Socialism being promoted by our current President and his supporters. Together we will be making this decision.

OUR FUTURE

The beauty of our position is; we already know what Capitalism has provided for us and we can take a look at Europe to see if we want that to be our future. I personally think the path is quite clear.

I'm sending this to you during this holiday season as American families gather to give thanks in hopes that you will share the importance of the time in which we are living.

I'm also hoping that you will forward this to all of your friends because together we will decide the future of the United States of America for decades to come.

HAVE A HAPPY THANKSGIVING

Don't forget to thank GOD for the United States of American and for what she has meant to millions of people around the World.

11. WE HAVE COMPROMISED AMERICA

We have compromised our freedom.

We have compromised our liberties.

We have compromised our morals.

We have compromised our culture.

We have compromised our Country.

THE RULE OF LIFE

Philosopher Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel said: Every idea irrepressibly breeds its opposite and the two merge into a synthesis which in turn produces its own contradiction. He called this "the rule of life"

From this, came the "Hegelian Dialect" of thesis (your idea), antithesis (someone else's idea) and synthesis (a compromise of the two ideas), i.e. synthesis meaning consensus or compromise (change).

Here's an example of how the Hegelian Dialect works.

A facilitator brings opposing points of view into a common area under the guise of solving a community issue such as the following situation:

Your local non-governmental 501c3 town council facilitates a town meeting to address water issues and sustainable development. (Remember Agenda 21 **is** sustainable development, the road map to one world government).

You personally own several acres that have your own water wells. You attend.

The facilitator paints a doom and gloom picture of a world running out of water if you, the community, don't come to some agreement about limiting water usage. You personally are not too worried because you have your own water wells, but you are attentive and in your mind agree that water is an area of concern for future generations. (So you think).

Soon the meeting breaks into groups to come up with ideas on how to address the issue. Each person in the group is asked to list their ideas about how best to address a sustainable future regarding water and give that list to their group leader. After a ten minute break, the meeting reconvenes and the facilitator begins listing the top ten ideas submitted by the community on how best to provide for a sustainable water future. (So you think)

The facilitator gets to number three and states: "Meter all private water wells and charge the property owner per cubic foot of water pumped."

You can't believe your ears. That's your water and the law backs you up. You rise in protest and find little or no support. Soon you are hearing consensus from the group that the ten ideas put forth by the community should become the town's position regarding water.

Agenda 21, the concept of sustainable development, brought the community together to address change, the Delphi Technique ran the meeting, the Hegelian Dialect concept provided for consensus and individual rights were the victim.

It happens every day over and over again. It is planned.

INDIVIDUALISM OR COLLECTIVISM

I believe our Constitution and its Amendments have been so fundamentally undermined by compromise that it is questionable whether one can count on any meaning, regarding the document.

For over 100 years, and more aggressively so in the past 50 years, the "rule of life" has been taking its gradual toll on the individual rights of Americans.

The Fabians, the Marxists, the Leninists, the Maoists, the Progressives and anyone else who promotes community over individualism has been forcing freedom loving Americans into positions of compromise thanks to the "rule of life".

We were originally provided with a defense against the "rule of life" when our Founders produced the United States Constitution and its Bill of Rights where they stated definitively what our government could and could not do.

But unsuspecting citizens and communities have willingly compromised their rights away for any number of reasons, most reasons having to do with safety and security.

For example; the Fabians believe; "War is the best way to remold society. War! It will shatter society to bits, break it apart. Then we can remold it nearer to the heart's desire."

And because of this simple Fabian belief we citizens have surrendered our rights that were provided under the Fourth Amendment for a false sense of security. (READ: FREEDOM IS A STRANGE EXPERIENCE)

THE TIPPING POINT

Have we reached a time of convergence that cannot be fixed short of starting all over again?

Have we compromised to a point of no compromise?

Have we reached the point of definition, meaning; we must at this juncture define either or?

I think so; as the following is us.

The Act provides criminal and civil penalties for persons who "take, possess, sell, purchase, barter, offer to sell, purchase or barter, transport, export or import, at any time or any manner, any bald eagle [or any golden eagle], alive or dead, or any part, nest, or egg thereof." The Act defines "take" as "pursue, shoot, shoot at, poison, wound, kill, capture, trap, collect, molest or disturb."

"Disturb means to agitate or bother a bald or golden eagle to a degree that causes, or is likely to cause, based on the best scientific information available,

- 1) injury to an eagle,
- 2) a decrease in its productivity, by substantially interfering with normal breeding, feeding, or sheltering behavior, or
- 3) nest abandonment, by substantially interfering with normal breeding, feeding, or sheltering behavior."

A violation of the Act can result in a criminal fine of \$100,000 (\$200,000 for organizations), imprisonment for one year, or both, for a first offense. Penalties increase substantially for additional offenses, and a second violation of this Act is a felony.

Abortion is defined as the termination of pregnancy by various methods, including medical surgery, before the fetus is able to sustain independent life. In *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U. S. 113 (1973), the U. S. Supreme Court determined that the Constitution protects a woman's decision whether or not to terminate her pregnancy. Specifically, the Court ruled that during the first trimester of pregnancy the state cannot bar any woman from obtaining an abortion from a licensed physician. During the second trimester, the state can regulate the abortion procedure only to protect the woman's health. In the third trimester, the state may regulate to protect fetal life, but not at the expense of the woman's life or health

Is this really who we are? If you bother an Eagle's egg you can be fined \$100,000 and go to prison for a year, however, it is okay to destroy a live human fetus without penalty or question?

Do we all agree that the life of an eagle has more value to society than the life of a human?

Our laws state that we do.

What does this say about us?

Further -

THERE IS NO POLITICAL PARTY SOLUTION

Here our country stands at the precipice of financial disaster and a super committee with clear cut goals in front of them was unable to compromise beyond the point where they started. Therefore, the elephants and the donkeys have shown us that there is no compromise left between them. We are at a stalemate. It is obvious the current system is not working. It is broken!

HOWEVER, THE COLLECTIVISTS HAVE PLANS

A point that has stuck out to me, regarding the collectivists implementing Agenda 21, (as we saw above) is the year 2012.

Agenda 21 was introduced to the World in 1992 and the first ten years following 1992 was to introduce and put in place those who would institute **Agenda 21**.

In 2002 they shifted to **Action 21** which was the implementation period of Agenda 21 with direction from Maurice Strong as follows: "*By the year 2012, these changes must be fully integrated into our economic and political life.*"

You **must** accept - reality exists even though you may not be aware and the reality is: There are forces at work that are not in your best interests if you love America and individual freedom and liberty.

WE HAVE ONE LAST OPPORTUNITY

The winds of change are blowing strongly and the opportunity to set our course is at hand. 2012 is not a joke it is our opportunity to resurrect our Country just as much as it is the collectivists opportunity to rule the World.

Which will it be?

2012 can be our beginning or it will be our end. You sit this one out at you and your children's peril.

You can take this seriously, as our ancestors warned, or you can ride the winds of change into the chasm of darkness as so many cultures before us have done.

Remember: *It must be realized that there are only two fundamental political philosophies: those who are for freedom and individual rights and those who are against them. The types of political systems who are against freedom and individual rights are numerous, for there are many ways to violate the rights of man, but there is only one political-economic philosophy which upholds that the rights of man are absolute and immutable --- _ _ _ _ _*.

We need a leader that cares more about America than they do about their own life, now.

George Washington, where are you?

12. MERRY CHRISTMAS, HAPPY HANUKKAH, HAPPY KWANZAA

This seemed like an appropriate time to bring up this subject. I first wrote of this in 2007 and some of the information sourced to has been scrubbed, however, there are still adequate sources to verify the information.

In the last Issue I exposed issues of security as those issues that have prodded Americans to give up their rights, however, there is a larger culprit that will take a whole issue to talk about and that issue is environmentalism.

Environmentalism has caused more damage to the "American" way of life than any other single issue. It has relentlessly attacked one of the foundations of our liberties, property rights.

"A man is said to have a right to his property, he may be equally said to have a property in his rights. Where an excess of power prevails, property of no sort is duly respected. No man is safe in his opinions, his person, his faculties, or his possessions." — James Madison on Property, (1792).

As we have seen, Environmentalism has placed the protection of an eagle's egg in a place of superiority over human life.

Environmentalism, however important, is out of control and severely misguided. But it has achieved what the collectivists wanted, control of human activity.

Environmentalism has successfully elevated environmental issues above human needs and placed the control of those issues in the hands of unelected bureaucrats.

Most of those bureaucracies are given names like council or commission and they are filled with likeminded people – no opposing point of view please as once they achieve their goal of control there is no longer the need for the "Hegelian Dialect".

THE UNRECOGNIZED EVIL

But something else it is doing that is so evil and yet remains unrecognized to most is its continued effort to replace current western religions with the religion of Environmentalism. And I am not the only person who recognizes the religious experience some have regarding their practice of Environmentalism.

On December 12, 2007, Pope Benedict released his remarks (unfortunately since 2007 this information has been scrubbed, however, even FOX News linked to the before scrubbed article in the

Daily Mail) criticizing the climate-change prophets of doom. The article was published in the UK Daily Mail and without a doubt the Pope referred to environmentalism as a religion.

The article ended with these definitive remarks:

"In the spring, the Vatican hosted a conference on climate change that was welcomed by environmentalists.

But senior cardinals close to the Vatican have since expressed doubts about a movement which has been likened by critics to be just as dogmatic in its assumptions as any religion."

The Pope's remarks would be made in his annual message for World Peace Day on January 1, but they were released as delegates from all over the world convened on the Indonesian holiday island of Bali for UN climate change talks.

"The leader of more than a billion Roman Catholics suggested that fears over man-made emissions melting the ice caps and causing a wave of unprecedented disasters were nothing more than scare-mongering.

The German-born Pontiff said that while some concerns may be valid it was vital that the international community based its policies on science rather than the dogma of the environmentalist movement."

The Pope agreed the world needed to care for the environment but not to the point where the welfare of plants and animals was given a greater priority than that of mankind.

EVIDENCE

But there is more than the Pope's remarks that point to the evil underlying intent of Environmentalism.

Number 1: Religions normally have some form of written text that provides guidance, such as the Bible, Torah or Koran.

Well - so too does Environmentalism, it is called Agenda 21, Sustainable Development. It is 40 chapters long and covers some three hundred plus pages.

Number 2: In the Jewish and Christian religions they acknowledge the Ten Commandments as a guide of how they should live.

Well - so too does Environmentalism have its guide on how you should live and it is called the Earth Charter. In fact its authors hope it becomes the Ten Commandments of human behavior.

Here are a couple quotes from the authors and presenters of the Earth Charter:

"My hope is that this charter will be a kind of Ten Commandments, a 'Sermon on the Mount,' that provides a guide for human behavior toward the environment in the next century and beyond," Gorbachev stated in a 1997 interview with the Los Angeles Times.

"The real goal of the Earth Charter," said Strong "is that it will in fact become like the Ten Commandments."

Number 3: So where is the Earth Charter housed, this "Ten Commandments" of Environmentalism?

Ah, yet another parallel to main stream religion.

The Jewish and Christian religions' Ten Commandments are believed to be held in the Ark of the Covenant and the so called ten commandments of Environmentalism are held in, where else, the Ark of Hope.

The Ark of the Covenant has been lost for millennium but some say it is buried beneath the Temple Mount. The Ark of Hope seems to travel around the world and may well end its travels at the United Nations' headquarters in New York.

Number 4: Every religion has a God or Gods they pray to for spiritual guidance, intervention and to whom they give thanks.

So who or what is the spiritual guide for Environmentalism? To whom do they give thanks for their existence? The Earth. (Mother Earth)

The Earth Charter by definition is a contract (charter) with the Earth. And one of the main architects of the contract was Mikhail Gorbachev. In an interview he is very candid about what he believes and who his god is:

"Well, I believe in the cosmos. All of us are linked to the cosmos. Look at the sun. If there is no sun, then we cannot exist. So nature is my god. To me, nature is sacred. Trees are my temples and forests are my cathedrals."

THE PARALLELS

The Harvard School of Law states there is "no legal" definition of religion so we are left to compare with those popularly accepted major forms of religion to make a determination.

The message of any main stream religion is about eternal life. Live by the Ten Commandments and you will be given eternal life.

The message of the religion of Environmentalism is slightly different, it is eternal Earth? If humans live by the ten commandments of the Earth Charter the message is the Earth will live for eternity. The message is called "sustainable development".

It has been my experience that if you copy something it is because you want something similar to the original, therefore, since Environmentalism has copied main stream religion it only computes that its founders wanted a religion.

The religion of Environmentalism has Agenda 21 Sustainable Development as its bible, the Earth Charter as its ten commandments, the Ark of hope as a religious symbol, the worshipping of the Earth as its god and eternal Earth as its message of hope.

So there you have the evidence.

I believe Environmentalism was designed as a religion, it emulates religion, it parallels main stream religion, therefore, it is a religion or, none of them are religions.

SO IF YOU AGREE THAT ENVIRONMENTALISM IS A RELIGION,

...why is it being taught in our schools and why is it being promoted by all levels of our government?

The First Amendment of our Constitution states, regarding religion:

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof...

Yet Congress has done exactly the opposite regarding the first part, and has made environmentalism mandatory regarding the second part.

Madison wrote: "practical distinction between Religion and Civil Government is essential to the purity of both, and as guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States."

Yet here we are.

If you are an elected official or anyone who is serving this Country where you have taken the oath to defend our Constitution, then you are obligated to act to remove this religion, Environmentalism, from our schools and all laws of this United States. Else you are betraying your fellow citizens and your sworn oath.

If you are not an elected official then maybe you should provide yours' with the evidence and ask them why something so destructive to our individual rights is allowed to be taught in our schools and promoted by our government.

You must hold the same standards for the religion of "Environmentalism" as you would for any other religion.

I hope you have a wonderful and joyous Season – Merry Christmas, Happy Hanukkah and Happy Kwanzaa

13. COMING TO A NEIGHBORHOOD NEAR YOU - COMMUNITY LAW

INTENTIONAL COMMUNITY

An intentional community is a planned residential community designed to have a much higher degree of teamwork than other communities. The members of an intentional community typically hold a common social, political, religious, or spiritual vision and often follow an alternative lifestyle. They typically also share responsibilities and resources.

The purposes of intentional communities vary. They may include sharing resources, creating family-oriented neighborhoods and living ecologically sustainable lifestyles (ecovillages). Many intentional communities focus on the importance of living and sharing life together, as opposed to the perceived trend of independence in Western culture.

Commonly there is a focus on egalitarian values (A broad definition of egalitarianism is "equal access to resources and to decision-making power."). Other themes are voluntary simplicity, interpersonal growth, and self-sufficiency.

FIC

Is Fellowship for Intentional Community – Fellowship of INTENTIONAL Community Promoting Community Living and Cooperative Lifestyles across North America. It is a clearinghouse of information and membership of communitarian communities.

Following is from their Website.

The Fellowship for Intentional Community nurtures connections and cooperation among communitarians and their friends. We provide publications, referrals, support services, and sharing opportunities for a wide range of intentional communities, cohousing groups, ecovillages, community networks, support organizations, and people seeking a home in community.

The Purpose:

TO INCREASE GLOBAL AWARENESS that intentional communities are pioneers in sustainable living, personal and community transformation, and peaceful social evolution.

COMMUNITARIAN LAW

Communitarian Law balances the rights of individuals and nations against the rights of the "community". Communitarian Community based Development is the structure for implementing the new system of law. The idea for re-building every community in the world under the control of a powerful community government is well underway in every nation. It's being quietly adopted by local stakeholder councils across the U.S. The term "community," when used by global communitarians, can define everything from smallest rural area to the entire region, as in the "European Community."

JONESTOWN

On November 18, 1978, 909 people died in an event termed "revolutionary suicide" This includes over 200 murdered children. Jonestown was the informal name for the Peoples Temple Agricultural Project, an intentional community in northwestern Guyana formed by the Peoples Temple led by Jim Jones.

In Issue 12 I wrote about "the rule of life" where every idea irrepressibly breeds its opposite and the two merge into a synthesis which in turn produces its own contradiction.

I also wrote that we had compromised our, freedom, our liberties, our morals, our culture and our Country.

I wrote how a facilitator (change agent) brings thesis (original idea) and antithesis (alternate idea) together to form synthesis (new idea) or consensus and it is generally about issues facing the community.

I wrote: "I believe our Constitution and its Amendments have been so fundamentally undermined by compromise that it is questionable whether one can count on any meaning, regarding the document."

That: "For over 100 years, and more aggressively so in the past 50 years, the "rule of life" has been taking its gradual toll on the individual rights of Americans."

That: "The Fabians, the Marxists, the Leninists, the Maoists, the Progressives and anyone else who promotes community over individualism has been forcing freedom loving Americans into positions of compromise thanks to the "rule of life"."

And that: "...unsuspecting citizens and communities have willingly compromised their rights away for any number of reasons, most reasons having to do with safety and security." And here I will add personal welfare.

SO WHERE IS ALL THIS COMPROMISE TAKING US?

Well some have written we are on the path to serfdom and since the description of an intentional community fits the definition of serfdom, indeed I believe we are, providing we maintain our present course. But serfdom or intentional community has a political name, it is called Communitarianism.

Communitarianism, so what is it? It is not communism nor is it capitalism - it is the final point of compromise in every area that impacts humans.

Let me repeat that. Communitarianism is the final point of compromise in every area that impacts humans.

I'm going to show you a diagram of where all of us in the entire world are, we are either US or THEM, and then I'm going to show you what happens when right or left, Democrat or Republican, Individualist or Collectivists, or Communist or Capitalist begin to experience "the rule of life" and then continues down the path of compromise.

Remember; "the rule of life" is change, change that is inevitable when two opposing points of view enter an arena where the ultimate goal is consensus. This action is called the Hegelian Dialect of thesis, antithesis and synthesis.

Here's what happens.



Now take another step and use this diagram and change the main titles from COMMUNISM and CAPITALISM to EXISTING LAW and NEW LAW or OLD MORALS and NEW MORALS or OLD RELIGION and NEW RELIGION.

No matter what subject, once you enter the arena of the Hegelian Dialect the only way out is synthesis, compromise of existing ideals.

So what happens when we reach this utopian point of Communitarianism, of complete harmonization of all political ideology, all social issues and new law, where there is no longer left or right, Democrat or Republican, Individualism or Collectivism, Communism or Capitalism, Darwinism or Creationism, New Law or Old Law?

We will have reached a place of communitarian norms, where no one disagrees with the final synthesis regarding any issue.

Even though reaching the point of complete and final communitarian norms, which is called Communitarian Law or specifically Community Law, on a worldwide basis, in my mind, seems impossible, the process is in place and is well underway and is being promoted and experienced at various community levels worldwide including my own.

From the Website FIC that promotes communitarian living you will find this statement:

The Fellowship is helping draw the circles of cooperation ever larger, and assisting with the personal stretching that this requires.

WESTERN INDEPENDENCE

Communitarians obviously don't like the life our Founders gave us.

As evidence of this I will point to their new movie titled: "A NEW WE". Its opening statement is:

*You never **change** things by fighting the existing reality. To **change** something, build a new model that makes the existing model obsolete.*

I'll repeat that second sentences; To change something, build a new model that makes the existing model obsolete... and I'll finish the thought by adding: do that by instituting the Hegelian Dialect. Or, change the USA from Constitutional Law to Communitarian Law.

CHANGE, CHANGE, CHANGE = AGENDA 21

Change where you live. Change how you live. Change where you work. Change for whom you work. Change what you eat. Change when you eat. Change where your children are schooled. Change what they are taught. Change what you drive. Change when you drive. Change where you drive. Change how you believe. Change in whom you believe. Change from Constitutional Law to Communitarian Law. And all of this in the name of "sustainability" which we know as code for Agenda 21.

MY BACKYARD

Believe it or not, I don't have to look far to find an example of all of this as I have a Communitarian Community in my backyard.

Harbin Hot Springs located northwest of Middletown is a member community of FIC making Harbin a Communitarian Community. Or, an "intentional community" that operates by community law.

Then on top of that we have Transition Lake County.

TRANSITION LAKE COUNTY

They have their own Website and Facebook page and have linked up with a nationwide transition group which is based on the Transition Network out of England who supports Agenda 21.

The Transition Town Network is a non-profit in England and from them originates the entire concept of their network. This from their Website:

*What is a Transition Town (or village / city / forest / island)?
Here's how it all appears to be evolving...*

It all starts off when a small collection of motivated individuals within a community come together with a shared concern: how can our community respond to the challenges, and opportunities, of Peak Oil and Climate Change?

They begin by forming an initiating group and then adopt the Transition Model with the intention of engaging a significant proportion of the people in their community to kick off a Transition Initiative.

A Transition Initiative is a community working together to look Peak Oil and Climate Change squarely in the eye and address this BIG question:

Next step is intentional community ruled by community law, communitarianism.

The most important knowledge you should take away from this letter is the understanding that Communitarianism is Community Law, and that Communitarians believe Community Law is superior to Constitutional Law and that this belief is held worldwide by World Leaders as well as the citizens of the World Community and is spreading rapidly throughout the United States.

That 2012 does indeed offer up "the end times" and the end of the America our Founders provided for us may be the victim.

The United States Constitution is under attack at every level and will soon be just a worthless piece of paper unless we elect a President and legislators who are loyal to our Country and its Constitution.

The political machines now in place, both right and left, have brought us to this place in history and must be defeated. In my mind you can no longer trust the talking heads as they are pushing the "agenda" as well. You must think for yourself.

14. "PEAK OIL" IS A MYTH AND THERE IS PROOF

WHAT IS "PEAK OIL"?

Peak oil is the point in time when the maximum rate of global petroleum extraction is reached, after which the rate of production enters terminal decline. Wikipedia

In Vol. 1 Issue 14 I wrote of how Intentional Communities are being formed and how they will be ruled by Community Law or Communitarianism and I gave as an example Transition Lake County and from their Website I provided a quote. It was as follows.

TRANSITION LAKE COUNTY

This from their Website

It all starts off when a small collection of motivated individuals within a community come together with a shared concern: how can our community respond to the challenges, and opportunities, of Peak Oil and Climate Change?

They begin by forming an initiating group and then adopt the Transition Model with the intention of engaging a significant proportion of the people in their community to kick off a Transition Initiative.

A Transition Initiative is a community working together to look Peak Oil and Climate Change squarely in the eye and address this BIG question:

So the boogiemens (imaginary beings used by adults to frighten children into compliant behavior) used by Transition Lake County are "Peak Oil and Climate Change". And of course anyone who has been paying attention knows the environmentalists have changed the title of one boogiemans from Global Warming to Climate Change because they have had to admit to the possibility that Global Warming does not exist.

But the point of this letter is to provide to you the evidence that "Peak Oil" is a myth and that quite frankly, "sustainable development" is a program that is unnecessary.

I wrote the following on March 7, 2010.

CAN YOU HANDLE THE TRUTH?

"If petroleum and natural gas are abiotic (of or characterized by the absence of life or living organisms) as we maintain in 'Black Gold Stranglehold,'" Corsi commented, "then the 'peak oil' fear that we are going to run out of oil may have been based on a giant misconception."

WOW! Could that be?

You've been taught:

"In organic chemistry, a hydrocarbon is an organic compound consisting entirely of hydrogen and carbon.

The majority of hydrocarbons found naturally occur in crude oil, where decomposed organic matter provides an abundance of carbon and hydrogen.

Hydrocarbons are one of the Earth's most important energy resources. The predominant use of hydrocarbons is as a combustible fuel source."

Because of that you thought the truth was; oil and gas came from "decomposed organic matter" and furthermore that those decomposed matters were called "fossil fuels":

"Fossil fuels range from volatile materials with low carbon: hydrogen ratios like methane, to liquid petroleum to nonvolatile materials composed of almost pure carbon, like anthracite coal. Fossil fuels or gas fuels are fuels formed by natural resources such as anaerobic decomposition of buried dead organisms. The age of the organisms and their resulting fossil fuels is typically millions of years, and sometimes exceeds 650 million years. These fuels contain a high percentage of carbon and hydrocarbons." Wikipedia

Based on that misinformation the collectivists have tried to manipulate the world by scaring it into believing we are running out of "fossil fuels" when that is just not true.

On December 01, 2005 came this spectacular news:

'Fossil fuel' theory takes hit with NASA finding - New study shows methane on Saturn's moon Titan not biological

WHAT?

"NASA scientists are about to publish conclusive studies showing abundant methane of a non-biologic nature is found on Saturn's giant moon Titan, a finding that validates a new book's contention that oil is not a fossil fuel."

WHOA... HOW CAN THAT BE?

"We have determined that Titan's methane is not of biologic origin," reports Hasso Niemann of the Goddard Space Flight Center, a principal NASA investigator responsible for the Gas Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer aboard the Cassini-Huygens probe that landed on Titan Jan. 14.

The realization that hydrocarbons are produced inorganically throughout our solar system was a key insight that led Cornell University astronomer Thomas Gold to write his 1998 book, "The Deep Hot Biosphere: The Myth of Fossil Fuels."

Paradigms in science change slowly and with great resistance, he noted, "But NASA has given us today incontrovertible evidence that Titan has abundant inorganic methane."

"If petroleum and natural gas are abiotic as we maintain in 'Black Gold Stranglehold,'" Corsi commented, "then the 'peak oil' fear that we are going to run out of oil may have been based on a giant misconception." http://www.wnd.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=47675

OOPS! CAN THIS BE?

Next in 2008 comes news that stands on its own merit: *"Discovery backs theory oil not 'fossil fuel' New evidence supports premise that Earth produces endless supply"*

GENERATES CONSTANTLY? NOT DECAYING DINOSAURS? OMG COULD THIS BE THE TRUTH?

"A study published in Science Magazine today presents new evidence supporting the abiotic theory for the origin of oil, which asserts oil is a natural product the Earth generates constantly rather than a "fossil fuel" derived from decaying ancient forests and dead dinosaurs."

"The abiotic theory of the origin of oil directly challenges the conventional scientific theory that hydrocarbons are organic in nature, created by the deterioration of biological material deposited millions of years ago in sedimentary rock and converted to hydrocarbons under intense heat and pressure." http://www.wnd.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=59991

THEN THIS!

"The savings will be in the many billions,"

Fossils From Animals And Plants Are Not Necessary For Crude Oil And Natural Gas, Swedish Researchers Find

"ScienceDaily (Sep. 12, 2009) — Researchers at the Royal Institute of Technology (KTH) in Stockholm have managed to prove that fossils from animals and plants are not necessary for crude oil and natural gas to be generated. The findings are revolutionary since this means, on the one hand, that it will be much easier to find these sources of energy and, on the other hand, that they can be found all over the globe.

But the discovery has more benefits. The degree of accuracy in finding oil is enhanced dramatically – from 20 to 70 percent. Since drilling for oil and natural gas is a very expensive process, the cost picture will be radically altered for petroleum companies, and in the end probably for consumers as well.

"The savings will be in the many billions," says Vladimir Kutcherov." <http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2009/09/090910084259.htm>

So ask yourself, isn't this really good news?

So why aren't we being told? Why are the environmentalists still crying about energy shortages? Why do they want to control our thermostats and make us drive electric cars? Why do we have to have "pig-tailed" mercury filled light bulbs and "smart meters"?

Because we are being misled and lied to in order to be manipulated.

Man-made global warming is a myth, "fossil fuels" are a myth, "peak oil" is a myth and health care reform is a myth.

It's all about controlling your life and it's called "Sustainable Development". **END**

So I think the science is there that tells us first, that the use of the phrase "fossil fuels" is a misnomer and second that hydrocarbons can be and are generated by geologic processes which would make them never ending making "peak oil" a myth.

SECOND MYTH - GLOBAL WARMING

I will provide the information that blows up the myth of global warming in the next Issue as I feel for some of you this current information may be hard for you to believe since it does suggest a conspiracy cover up involving our Government and I'm sure many of you still trust Government to do the "right thing".

15. NEW NASA DATA BLOW GAPING HOLE IN GLOBAL WARMING ALARMISM

Last Issue I told you I would provide the information proving manmade global warming or Climate Change was a myth.

But first we need to define Climate Change.

We all know there is climate change (lower case) as we experience it throughout our life. 10,000 years ago there was an ice age and fortunately the climate changed and the world has been warming up since that time.

But the case I'm addressing is a category of events that have been titled Climate Change (upper case). That category of events was laid out in the US House of Representatives in 1992, as defined at the Rio Earth Summit, and was titled "CLIMATE CHANGE". Climate Change was defined as measurable temperature changes of the Earth caused by Green House Gases (GHGs) most specifically carbon dioxide (CO2).

You will notice that Transition Lake County capitalizes Climate Change because it is the title for a series of events also known as manmade global warming.

BOOGIEMAN #2

After the Rio Earth summit in 1992 where President George H.W. Bush's signatory, (VP Dan Quayle) agreed to "*develop a strong national strategy to implement Agenda 21 and other Earth Summit agreements...*" these agreements were passed through Congress by House Resolution 353.

Representative Nancy Pelosi introduced and sponsored House Concurrent Resolution 353 which passed the House of Representatives October 2, 1992. Attest: DONNALD K. ANDERSON, Clerk.

The main issue regarding this Resolution was United Nations' Agenda 21 but in the agreements was another issue called Climate Change.

The following quote is from the Congressional Record regarding HR353 and Climate Change from 1992:

*The Administration succeeded in obtaining provisions requiring submission of national plans describing measures to respond to **potential global climate change**.*


*The Bush Administration was subjected to unrelenting criticism from European officials, environmentalists, certain members of Congress and others for its refusal to accept a CO2 stabilization requirement as part of the Framework Convention. **Aside from the fact that greenhouse warming still has not been scientifically detected**, however, the Administration has other points in its favor.*

So this says in 1992 they were planning to use CO2 and "**potential**" greenhouse warming to push an environmental agenda "**Aside from the fact that greenhouse warming still has not been scientifically detected**".

SCREEN SHOT

A screen shot is a photograph of the information being displayed on the computer screen at that moment.

I am including a screen shot of the information regarding Climate Change just as it is at <http://thomas.loc.gov/>



CLIMATE CHANGE

Since the beginning of the scaremongering, regarding global Climate Change, there have been skeptics, myself included. And as time has passed the untruth has slowly come unraveled, however, those with their environmentalist agenda are still holding out and crying that the sky is falling.

But now there is scientific evidence that there is no global warming being caused by GHGs or CO2 and once again it was NASA that provided the evidence.

The final paragraph of the article sums it up pretty well:

When objective NASA satellite data, reported in a peer-reviewed scientific journal, show a "huge discrepancy" between alarmist climate models and real-world facts, climate scientists, the media and our elected officials would be wise to take notice. Whether or not they do so will tell us a great deal about how honest the purveyors of global warming alarmism truly are.

NASA

New NASA Data Blow Gaping Hole In Global Warming Alarmism *Forbes – Wed, Jul 27, 2011*

NASA satellite data from the years 2000 through 2011 show the Earth's atmosphere is allowing far more heat to be released into space than alarmist computer models have predicted,

In addition to finding that far less heat is being trapped than alarmist computer models have predicted, the NASA satellite data show the atmosphere begins shedding heat into space long before United Nations computer models predicted.

The new findings are extremely important and should dramatically alter the global warming debate.

Scientists on all sides of the global warming debate are in general agreement about how much heat is being directly trapped by human emissions of carbon dioxide (the answer is "not much"). However, the single most important issue in the global warming debate is whether carbon dioxide emissions will indirectly trap far more heat by causing large increases in atmospheric humidity and cirrus clouds. Alarmist computer models assume human carbon dioxide emissions indirectly cause substantial increases in atmospheric humidity and cirrus clouds (each of which are very effective at trapping heat), but real-world data have long shown that carbon dioxide emissions are not causing as much atmospheric humidity and cirrus clouds as the alarmist computer models have predicted.

The new NASA Terra satellite data are consistent with long-term NOAA and NASA data indicating atmospheric humidity and cirrus clouds are not increasing in the manner predicted by alarmist computer models. The Terra satellite data also support data collected by NASA's ERBS satellite showing far more longwave radiation (and thus, heat) escaped into space between 1985 and 1999 than alarmist computer models had predicted. Together, the NASA ERBS and Terra satellite data show that for 25 years and counting, carbon dioxide emissions have directly and indirectly trapped far less heat than alarmist computer models have predicted.

In short, the central premise of alarmist global warming theory is that carbon dioxide emissions should be directly and indirectly trapping a certain amount of heat in the earth's atmosphere and preventing it from escaping into space. Real-world measurements, however, show far less heat is being trapped in the earth's atmosphere than the alarmist computer models predict, and far more heat is escaping into space than the alarmist computer models predict.

*When objective NASA satellite data, reported in a peer-reviewed scientific journal, show a "huge discrepancy" between alarmist climate models and **real-world facts**, climate scientists, the media and our elected officials would be wise to take notice. Whether or not they do so will tell us a great deal about how honest the purveyors of global warming alarmism truly are.*


So in 1992, as stated in the Congressional Record, there was no evidence of Climate Change and today there is still no evidence even though they tried to make it happen.

Peak Oil and Climate Change are myths but the reason for their purpose is not and that is Agenda 21.

AGENDA 21

"This several hundred page document is supposed to serve as a guide for action into the 21st Century."

And Peak Oil and Climate Change were supposed to be the rallying cry to institute the tenets of United Nations' Agenda 21, Sustainable Development.



Agenda 21 is a cancer and America has a severe case.

RECYCLING

If our planet is dying and recycling is so important why isn't it required everywhere?

Maybe there is a logical answer and I'll bet it has to do with the so-called 1%.

USEFUL IDIOT

Volume 1 Issue 8 was titled such and in there was this definition:

"Useful idiot" is often used as a pejorative term for those who are seen to unwittingly support a malignant cause through their 'naive' attempts to be a force for good.

16. CHANGING HOW YOU THINK WILL CHANGE WHAT YOU SEE

Volume 2 Issues 1&2 were about debunking "Peak Oil" and "Climate Change" and for some, this may still be a problem even though the science is there showing both issues are a myth.

But believing the science I provided verses still believing "Peak Oil and Climate Change" are not myths is a matter of processing the information.

Most of us have learned to believe in certain sources of information like the Bible or the government or our school teacher and to think that any or all of these sources may have been agenda driven instead of just presenting the truth would cause us to have to re-think our entire belief system and then wonder in whom could we believe.

Well that's what happened to me and once I started to trust in my own eyes and brain and instincts the fog began to lift.

So when I discovered Agenda 21 and then traced its source in our Country and found it came from our own Government I was shocked and very upset but had no problem accepting the facts and the facts are – American freedoms and liberties have been sold out.

There is absolutely no doubt about it, Agenda 21 is a conspiracy of the highest order. Agenda 21 has nothing to do with saving the environment but everything to do with controlling all human activity through the use of environmental issues that will impugn your pursuit of happiness limit your liberties and put a bureaucrat in charge of your life.

CONSPIRACY

Conspiracy: the act of conspiring together - Conspire: to act in harmony toward a common end (Webster)

A conspiracy theory explains an event as being the result of an alleged plot by a covert group or organization or, more broadly, the idea that important political, social or economic events are the products of secret plots that are largely unknown to the general public. (Wikipedia)

So a "conspiracy" is to act in harmony toward a common goal. A "conspiracy theory" would be an "alleged plot largely unknown to the general public".

Since Agenda 21 is a reality, a fact, that states: "*Agenda 21 is a comprehensive plan of action to be taken globally, nationally and locally by organizations of the United Nations System, Governments, and Major Groups in every area in which human impacts on the environment.*" Then it not an "alleged plot" it is a "conspiracy" to act in harmony.

Agenda 21 is being perpetrated against all citizens of the World "*in every area in which human impacts on the environment*". But in America it is especially evil because it is a conspiracy being perpetrated on us citizens by the highest levels of government, business, labor and non-profits and it is being hidden behind code words such as Sustainable Development, smart growth and so on and the proof that it is a conspiracy is in writing in the Congressional Record you just have to look. I will quote below exactly as it is written.

Agenda 21 was brought to us by a Republican Administration (*George H.W. Bush signatory*), it was elevated by a Democrat Administration (*President Clinton's Executive Order EO No.*

12852, June 29, 1993: By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, including section 301 of title 3, United States Code, it is hereby ordered as follows:

Section 1. Establishment

There is established the "President's Council on Sustainable Development" ("Council").)

under direction from the United States Congress (Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That it is the sense of the Congress that--

(1) effective follow-up to achieve the many goals of the agreements reached at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (hereinafter in this resolution referred to as 'UNCED') will depend on the following actions by the President and the United States Government:

(A) The United States should adopt a national strategy for environmentally sustainable development, based on an extensive process of nationwide consultations with all interested organizations and individuals, including State and local governments, nongovernmental organization, businesses, and labor groups.

(B) The United States Government should encourage and facilitate, at all levels of community and sectors of society, appropriate means for adopting individual Agenda 21 plans of action, including the establishment of local, county, State, business, and other boards and commissions for achieving sustainable development. Each member of the Congress should help initiate this process within their States or districts.

(C) The President should establish an effective mechanism to plan, initiate, and coordinate United States policy for implementing Agenda 21. Responsibility should be vested in a duly constituted office, headed by an appropriate high level official, and the necessary staff support structure should be provided.

(D) Policies should be formulated for foreign policy and foreign assistance in order to help developing countries, and for domestic actions in order to assure appropriate action by the United States to implement Agenda 21;

It was perpetuated under another Republican Administration (George H Bush's Under Secretary of State for Global Affairs, Paula Dobriansky, told a Brookings-Council on Foreign Relations on Thursday, May 23, 2002 - 12:00 p.m.-1:15 p.m. at The Washington Club, quote: "We already have agreed upon Agenda 21 and the Millennium Declaration goals.") and is being continued by the current administration.

Agenda 21 has been dictating American policy since 1992 yet no one has acknowledged that a UN generated plan is being used to guide American policy.

Agenda 21 has been with us for 20 years and you feel the effects of Agenda 21 every day but until just recently you could search the Internet for major news media stories regarding Agenda 21 and you would find NONE. Excepting for one several years ago on FOX News.

Agenda 21, Sustainable Development is 180 degrees out of sync with our Country it is all about collectivism (socialism – communism) and the needs of the world community and the so called greater good.

It is anti-American. It is in my mind Treason. It undermines the Constitution.

On October 29, 1991 -- David Funderburk, former U. S. Ambassador to Romania, told a North Carolina audience: "George Bush has been surrounding himself with people who believe in one-world government. They believe that the Soviet system and the American system are

converging." The vehicle to bring this about, said Funderburk, is the United Nations, "the majority of whose 166 member states are socialist, atheist, and anti-American."

Our Founders believed in individualism and the proof is in the documents. Nowhere in any of our founding documents are "rights" bestowed on any group. Any kind of group right or community right that has been foisted on Americans is extra constitutional.

THE DECLARATION of INDEPENDENCE

We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. (individual rights)

The Bill of Rights is all about the "rights" of the individual.

And if that doesn't convince you, the Ten Commandments were directed to each individual.

Since this evil, Agenda 21, is being perpetrated by big business, large and small non-profits, labor unions, every level of government, every type of politician and every kind of minister and all of these combined make up the source of most people's beliefs, I believe in order to reach people with a sense of urgency and to be able to show them the evil, you have to show them how to think differently.

Can you do that? Can you think differently?

When you do you will see the true purpose behind things such as "Peak Oil" and "Climate Change" and the hypocrisy of mercury filled pig tailed light bulbs and smart meters. You will see how the message is controlled. You will see the World as it is not as someone else has told you it is.

17. THE STRENGTH OF MY CONVICTIONS

In Volume 2 Issue 3 I wrote the following:

Most of us have learned to believe in certain sources of information like the Bible or the government or our school teacher and to learn that any or all of these sources may have been agenda driven instead of just presenting the truth would cause us to have to re-think our entire belief system and then wonder in whom could we believe.

Well that's just what happened to me and once I started to trust in my own eyes and brain and instincts the fog began to lift.

There were 3 revelations that led to changes in my way of thinking. These three revelations provide the strength of my convictions.

THE FIRST CAUSE THAT CHANGED MY THINKING WAS ACCEPTING THERE ARE THOSE AT THE HIGHEST LEVEL WHO WILL SACRIFICE YOUR LIFE TO SATISFY THEIR OWN GREED AND POWER

For those who have been on this voyage with me since the beginning you are aware of one episode as I told you in Volume 1 Issue 1. So for you, please bear with me as I explain to those who have joined us after that Issue.

In 1989 I became angry as I was assessing my life. That was twenty years after I had separated from active duty in the U.S. Army and I was angry at my government for what they had done to my generation. 58,000 dead and for what? Some got rich and most got dead.

Then in 2005 came this information:

an internal National Security Agency historical study was declassified. This study addressed the "Gulf of Tonkin" incident.

President of the United States – Lyndon B. Johnson

The report stated:

[I]t is not simply that there is a different story as to what happened; it is that no attack happened that night. In truth, Hanoi's navy was engaged in nothing that night but the salvage of two of the boats damaged on August 2.

The outcome of these two incidents was the passage by Congress of the Gulf of Tonkin Resolution, which granted President Lyndon B. Johnson the authority to assist any Southeast Asian country whose government was considered to be jeopardized by "communist aggression". The resolution served as Johnson's legal justification for deploying U.S. conventional forces and the commencement of open warfare against North Vietnam. (Wikipedia)

Seems the reason used to draft me, the reason used that caused 58,000 deaths was a lie.

At that time, 2005, I was sixty years old and I hated what my government had done to my generation so whenever I read or hear of a government run conspiracy, even one where Americans get killed, I have far fewer doubts about its validity.

For example:

President of the United States – Woodrow Wilson

Item 1. World War I began in 1914, and in 1915 the United States, which was not yet involved, lent France and Great Britain \$500 million through American banks.

In 1916, a single French loan totaled \$750 million.

In all, the total amount of American loans to these allied countries amounted to \$3 billion.

Item 2. During this time, before America entered the War, it was illegal for American passengers to be on board a ship carrying munitions or other war materials.

On May 1, 1915, the German embassy in Washington, D.C. ran ads in the New York papers in addition to verbal announcements warning Americans that the Lusitania would be attacked.

The Lusitania, a former passenger ship, had been converted into an ammunition war ship early in the war and was armed with guns and was carrying six million pounds of ammunition which were to be sold to England and France for use in the war against Germany. The British Lusitania was torpedoed by a German U-Boat on May 7, 1915. A total of 1,198 people died (785 passengers and 413 crew). Those killed included 128 US citizens (why were they allowed aboard the Lusitania?).

The newspapers publicized and played-up the sinking of the British auxiliary cruiser. The Germans said they had the right to attack an allied ship even though the United States, up to that time, had been neutral. This sinking and killing of Americans was just one of the reasons used for America's entry into the war.

Item 3. The real reason for entering the war, Germany was winning the War and had Germany won the War, those bonds held by American bankers would have been worthless. J.P. Morgan (who served as England's financial agent in the U.S.), Rockefeller (who made more than \$200,000,000 on the war), Warburg, and Schiff, were instrumental in pushing America into the war, so they could protect their loans to Europe. (Modern History Project)

This episode tells me that although it was illegal and a warning had been issued as to what was coming, American citizens were allowed on board the Lusitania and then their deaths were used to advance an agenda. Do I have doubts about this being the truth? Not since I realized my government would send people to die, under cover of a lie, to protect the elites.

THE NUMBER TWO CAUSE THAT CHANGED MY THINKING WAS FAMILY

Like so many others with a computer I discovered I could research my family roots, so several years ago I set off to find the truth about my family on my father's side.

I discovered my ancestor, Casper Wink, came to America around 1720. I was elated. I also found that he and his sons fought the British in the Revolutionary War and that at least one did not come home.

I am so proud that my ancestors fought alongside the Founders and died to give you and me freedom and liberty and because of that I am dedicated to insure they did not die in vain. Therefore, I will always rise in the defense of both issues and I refuse to be told to sit down and shut-up.

Today, Agenda 21 is only one issue that threatens freedom and liberty and I oppose anything that advances that agenda and I question and have little faith in a government that has conspired to hide the truth from the American people.

THE NUMBER THREE CAUSE THAT CHANGED MY THINKING IS KNOWING GOD

I found that religion was a cause of confusion in my thinking but my strong feelings about God and nature kept me on the religious track until one day it was explained to me there is a difference between God and religion and what that difference is.

A major difference is; it is impossible to accept God as the Creator of ALL things yet stay within the tenets of most religions. So if I accept God as the Creator of ALL things, and that means good and bad and things I don't understand, and then some religions refuse to think their God created evil or disease or homosexuality then that tells me their God is not the Creator of ALL things and that confuses the ability to sort out reality. Remember, reality exists even if you don't recognize it as such. I accept that God is the Creator of ALL things and He has a purpose that in most cases I am incapable of understanding.

But let me be clear, I have absolutely no problem with most religions and am sure many folks need religion in their lives to cope with life in general.

However, accepting God as the Creator of all things yet removing religion from the thinking equation has removed that stigma from any issue I face and does not require I defend any issue, based on religious beliefs, to myself or anyone else but only on how to accept reality.

Because I know God is the Creator I look to nature to find answers and the most obvious reality there (in nature) that is looking back is freedom, therefore, I understand that God intended for all of His creatures to be free.

The other reality I see is that every creature must work to survive and just these two observations have allowed me to see that individualism is the correct path for man if survival and happiness is his purpose.

And when I have a philosophical question that confounds me I turn to the Ten Commandments to look for the answer.

For instance – where in the Ten Commandments does it say you are your brother’s keeper? Answer: nowhere. But where does it say to mind your own business and leave your neighbor alone? Answer - The Tenth Commandment.

You are responsible for you.

AND SO YOU HAVE BEGUN

PLEASE SHARE THIS BOOK WITH YOUR FRIENDS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS, CREDIT & SOURCES:

Dr. Betsy McCaughey
ACLU
Benjamin Franklin
Bill of Rights
Blaze.com
Cancer Help UK website
Capitalism.org
David Funderburk
Declaration of Independence
Encyclopedia Britannica
Fellowship for Intentional Community
Forbes
FOX News.com
Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel
George Orwell's book "1984"
Harvard School of Law
Herbert Hoover 31st President
James Madison
John Adams
John Philpot Curran
Merriam Webster
Mikhail Gorbachev
Modern History Project
NASA
newyork.cbslocal.com
Pope Benedict
Science Magazine
ScienceDaily
Steven M. Lukes
The Ten Commandments
Thomas Jefferson
Transition Lake County
Transition Town Network
UK Daily Mail
University of North Carolina
United Nations' Agenda 21
U.S. Constitution
Wikipedia
wnd.com
THE WORLD WIDE WEB